

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Proposed Agency Information Collection Activities; Comment Request

AGENCY: Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.

ACTION: Notice, request for comment.

SUMMARY: The Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (Board) invites comment on a proposal to extend for three years, without revision, the Basel II Interagency Pillar 2 Supervisory Guidance (Pillar 2 Guidance) (FR 4199; OMB No. 7100-0320).

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before [INSERT DATE 60 DAYS AFTER PUBLICATION IN THE FEDERAL REGISTER].

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by *FR 4199*, by any of the following methods:

- Agency Website: http://www.federalreserve.gov. Follow the instructions for submitting comments at http://www.federalreserve.gov/apps/foia/proposedregs.aspx.
- E-mail: regs.comments@federalreserve.gov. Include OMB number in the subject line of the message.
- FAX: (202) 452-3819 or (202) 452-3102.
- Mail: Ann E. Misback, Secretary, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System,
 20th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20551.

All public comments are available from the Board's website at

http://www.federalreserve.gov/apps/foia/proposedregs.aspx as submitted, unless modified for technical reasons. Accordingly, your comments will not be edited to remove any identifying or contact information. Public comments may also be viewed electronically or in paper form in Room 3515, 1801 K Street, N.W. (between 18th and 19th Streets, N.W.), Washington, DC

20006 between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m. on weekdays. For security reasons, the Board requires that visitors make an appointment to inspect comments. You may do so by calling (202) 452-3684. Upon arrival, visitors will be required to present valid government-issued photo identification and to submit to security screening in order to inspect and photocopy comments.

Additionally, commenters may send a copy of their comments to the OMB Desk Officer – Shagufta Ahmed – Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, Room 10235, 725 17th Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20503, or by fax to (202) 395-6974.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: A copy of the PRA OMB submission, including the proposed reporting form and instructions, supporting statement, and other documentation will be placed into OMB's public docket files, if approved. These documents will also be made available on the Board's public website at:

http://www.federalreserve.gov/apps/reportforms/review.aspx or may be requested from the agency clearance officer, whose name appears below.

Federal Reserve Board Clearance Officer – Nuha Elmaghrabi – Office of the Chief Data Officer, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, DC 20551, (202) 452-3829. Telecommunications Device for the Deaf (TDD) users may contact (202) 263-4869, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, DC, 20551.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On June 15, 1984, the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) delegated to the Board authority under the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) to approve and assign OMB control numbers to collection of information requests and requirements conducted or sponsored by the Board. In exercising this delegated authority, the Board is directed to take every reasonable step to solicit comment. In determining whether to approve a

collection of information, the Board will consider all comments received from the public and

other agencies.

Request for comment on information collection proposal

The Board invites public comment on the following information collection, which is being

reviewed under authority delegated by the OMB under the PRA. Comments are invited on the

following:

a. Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance

of the Board's functions, including whether the information has practical utility;

b. The accuracy of the Board's estimate of the burden of the proposed information

collection, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

c. Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected;

d. Ways to minimize the burden of information collection on respondents, including through

the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; and

e. Estimates of capital or startup costs and costs of operation, maintenance, and purchase of

services to provide information.

At the end of the comment period, the comments and recommendations received will be

analyzed to determine the extent to which the Board should modify the proposal.

Proposal under OMB delegated authority to extend for three years, without revision, the

following information collection:

Report title: Basel II Interagency Pillar 2 Supervisory Guidance (Pillar 2 Guidance).

Agency form number: FR 4199.

OMB control number: 7100-0320.

Frequency: As needed.

3

Respondents: Banking institutions.

Estimated number of respondents: 13.

Estimated average hours per response: 420.

Estimated annual burden hours: 5,460.

General description of report: The advanced approaches framework requires certain banks and

bank holding companies (BHCs) to use an internal ratings-based approach to calculate regulatory

credit risk capital requirements and advance measurement approaches to calculate regulatory

operational risk capital requirements.

A bank is required to comply with the advanced approaches framework if it meets either

of two independent threshold criteria: (1) consolidated total assets of \$250 billion or more, as

reported on the most recent year-end regulatory reports; or (2) consolidated total on-balance

sheet foreign exposure of \$10 billion or more at the most recent year-end.

A BHC is required to comply with the advanced approaches framework if the BHC has

(1) consolidated total assets (excluding assets held by an insurance underwriting subsidiary) of

\$250 billion or more, as reported on the most recent year-end regulatory reports; (2) consolidated

total on-balance sheet foreign exposure of \$10 billion or more at the most recent year-end; or (3)

a subsidiary depository institution (DI) that meets the criteria to be subject to the advanced

approaches rule or elects to adopt the advanced approaches. As of year-end 2017, 13 BHCs meet

the above criteria and are therefore subject to the advanced approaches rule.¹

1 Regulation YY permits a bank holding company that is a subsidiary of a foreign banking institution to elect not to comply with the advanced approaches rule prior to formation of an intermediate holding companies (IHCs) with the prior approval of the Board. 12 C.F.R. 252.153(e)(2)(C). Currently, no savings and loan holding companies are subject to the advanced approaches rule.

4

Also, some banks or BHCs may voluntarily decide to adopt the advanced approaches framework. Both mandatory and voluntary respondents are required to meet certain qualification requirements before they can use the advanced approaches framework for risk-based capital purposes.

The Pillar 2 Guidance sets the expectation that respondents maintain certain documentation as described in paragraphs 37, 41, 43, and 46 of this portion of the guidance. Details of the expectations for each section are provided below.

Setting and Assessing Capital Adequacy Goals that Relate to Risk

Paragraph 37. In analyzing capital adequacy, a banking organization should evaluate the capacity of its capital to absorb losses. Because various definitions of capital are used within the banking industry, each banking organization should state clearly the definition of capital used in any aspect of its internal capital adequacy assessment process (ICAAP).² Since components of capital are not necessarily alike and have varying capacities to absorb losses, a banking organization should be able to demonstrate the relationship between its internal capital definition and its assessment of capital adequacy. If a banking organization's definition of capital differs from the regulatory definition, the banking organization should reconcile such differences and provide an analysis to support the inclusion of any capital instruments that are not recognized under the regulatory definition. Although common equity is generally the predominant

² Under the Board's capital plan rule (12 CFR 225.8), a bank holding company with total consolidated assets of \$50 billion or more is required to develop and maintain a capital plan; however, on July 6, 2018, the Board issued a public statement regarding the impact of the Economic Growth, Regulatory Relief, and Consumer Protection Act (EGRRCPA) (Pub L. No. 115-174, 132 Stat. 1296 (2018). The Board stated, consistent with EGRRCPA, that it will not action to require bank holding companies with total consolidated assets greater than or equal to \$50 billion but less than \$100 billion to comply with the Board's capital plan rule (https://www.federalreserve.gov/newsevents/pressreleases/files/bcreg20180706b1.pdf).

Bank holding companies subject to the capital plan rule must have a capital policy that sets forth a capital adequacy process. ICAAP would constitute an internal capital adequacy process for purposes of the capital plan rule, and bank holding companies that have a satisfactory ICAAP generally would be considered to have a satisfactory internal capital adequacy process for purposes of the capital plan rule.

component of a banking organization's capital structure, a banking organization may be able to support the inclusion of other capital instruments in its internal definition of capital if it can demonstrate a similar capacity to absorb losses. The banking organization should document any changes in its internal definition of capital and the reason for those changes.

Ensuring Integrity of Internal Capital Adequacy Assessments

Paragraph 41. A banking organization should maintain thorough documentation of its ICAAP to ensure transparency. At a minimum, this should include a description of the banking organization's overall capital-management process, including the committees and individuals responsible for the ICAAP; the frequency and distribution of ICAAP-related reporting; and the procedures for the periodic evaluation of the appropriateness and adequacy of the ICAAP. In addition, where applicable, ICAAP documentation should demonstrate the banking organization's sound use of quantitative methods (including model selection and limitations) and data-selection techniques, as well as appropriate maintenance, controls, and validation. A banking organization should document and explain the role of third-party and vendor products, services and information - including methodologies, model inputs, systems, data, and ratings and the extent to which they are used within the ICAAP. A banking organization should have a process to regularly evaluate the performance of third-party and vendor products, services and information. As part of the ICAAP documentation, a banking organization should document the assumptions, methods, data, information, and judgment used in its quantitative and qualitative approaches.

Paragraph 43. The board of directors and senior management have certain responsibilities in developing, implementing, and overseeing the ICAAP. The board should approve the ICAAP and its components. The board or its appropriately delegated agent should

review the ICAAP and its components on a regular basis and approve any revisions. That review should encompass the effectiveness of the ICAAP, the appropriateness of risk tolerance levels and capital planning, and the strength of control infrastructures. Senior management should continually ensure that the ICAAP is functioning effectively and as intended, under a formal review policy that is explicit and well documented. Additionally, a banking organization's internal audit function should play a key role in reviewing the controls and governance surrounding the ICAAP on an ongoing basis.

Paragraph 46. As part of the ICAAP, the board or its delegated agent, as well as appropriate senior management, should periodically review the resulting assessment of overall capital adequacy. This review, which should occur at least annually, should include an analysis of how measures of internal capital adequacy compare with other capital measures (such as regulatory, accounting-based or market-determined). Upon completion of this review, the board or its delegated agent should determine that, consistent with safety and soundness, the banking organization's capital takes into account all material risks and is appropriate for its risk profile. However, in the event a capital deficiency is uncovered (that is, if capital is not consistent with the banking organization's risk profile or risk tolerance) management should consult and adhere to formal procedures to correct the capital deficiency.

Legal authorization and confidentiality: The collection of information is authorized pursuant to the International Lending Supervision Act (12 U.S.C. 3907(a)(1) and (b)(3)), section 18310 of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act (12 U.S.C. 18310), section 5 of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (12 U.S.C. 1844), section 10(b)(2) of the Homeowners' Loan Act (12 U.S.C. 1467a(b)), and section 171 of the Dodd-Frank Act (12 U.S.C. 5371). The FR 4199 is voluntary.

Because the collections of information associated with the FR 4199 do not involve the

submission of information to the Board, no issues of confidentiality would normally arise. To

the extent that the Board collects information kept by a banking organization as a record during

an examination of the banking organization, confidential treatment may be afforded to the

records under exemption 8 of the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) (5 U.S.C. 552(b)(8)),

which protects information collected as part of the Board's supervisory process. Additionally,

individual respondents may request that certain information be afforded confidential treatment

pursuant to exemption 4 of FOIA (5 U.S.C. 552(b)(4)) if the information has not previously been

publically disclosed and the release of the data would likely cause substantial harm to the

competitive position of the respondent.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, October 16, 2018.

Michele Taylor Fennell,

Assistant Secretary of the Board.

Billing Code 6210-01-P

[FR Doc. 2018-22914 Filed: 10/19/2018 8:45 am; Publication Date: 10/22/2018]

8